

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CASBN 44332)  
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CASBN 163973)  
Chief, Criminal Division

JEFFREY R. FINIGAN (CASBN 168285)  
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue  
San Francisco, California 94102  
Telephone: (415) 436-7232  
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234  
Email: jeffrey.finigan@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
 )  
Plaintiff, )  
 )  
v. )  
 )  
MICHAEL EDISON, )  
a/k/a Michael J. Edison, and )  
DEBRA EDISON, )  
a/k/a Debra Legum-Edison, )  
a/k/a Debra Sue Legum-Edison, )  
Defendants. )

Criminal No. CR 07-0074 WHA

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME**

The above-captioned matter came before the Court on March 26, 2008. The defendant, DEBRA EDISON, was represented by Eric Hairston, Esq., and the government was represented by Jeffrey Finigan, Assistant United States Attorney. A pretrial motions briefing schedule and trial date were set with the defendant's motions due to be filed on April 22, 2008. The previously set appearance on June 3, 2008 was vacated.

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME  
CR 07-0074 WHA

1 The Court made a finding that the time from and including March 26, 2008, through and  
2 including April 22, 2008, should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C.  
3 § 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by taking such action outweighed the best  
4 interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The finding was based on the need for  
5 the defendant to have reasonable time necessary for effective preparation and for continuity of  
6 counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

7 The parties hereby agree to and request that the exclusion of time until April 22, 2008, be  
8 granted. The parties agree and stipulate that the additional time is appropriate and necessary  
9 under Title 18, United States Code, § 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by this  
10 continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. This  
11 time exclusion will allow defense counsel to effectively prepare, taking into account the exercise  
12 of due diligence, and will provide for continuity of counsel for the defendant.

13  
14  
15 DATED: March 27, 2008

/s/  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ERIC HAIRSTON  
Counsel for Debra Edison

16  
17  
18 DATED: March 27, 2008

/s/  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JEFFREY R. FINIGAN  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

19  
20  
21 So ordered.

22 DATED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM H. ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE